## ADALIMUMAB



ng trial/ CD/ Induction
imal induction dosing regimen for ADA in this week 2. Adalimumab was well tolerated.
)/ Maintenance & Safety
for up to 56 weeks in patients with to anti-TNF treatment.
A/ Mod-sev CD/ Maintenance ew more effective than placebo in maintaining
ADA was well tolerated and safe.
( or intolerance/ Induction
: o in adult patients with Crohn disease who lespite receiving infliximab therapy.
ntenance
: emission, improvements in QoL & reductions ), with no new safety concerns identified



RCT/ADA vs. pbo/ Mod-Sev Conclusion ADA160/80 was safe and effective for induction of cl active UC failing treatment with corticoster	UTRAI	2011
RCT/ADA vs. pbo/ Mod-Sever Conclusion ADA was more effective than placebo in inducing and mai severe UC that was not responsive	ULTRA 2	2012
RCT/ ADA vs Pbo/ Mod-Sev O Conclusion Following induction therapy with ADA, patients with mo more likely to achieve mucosal healin	EXTEND	2012
OL/ IFX vs switch to AD/ Conclusior Elective switching from IFX to ADA associated with loss Adherence to the first antiTM	SWITCH	2012
RCT/ ADA open label induction and ADA high vs lo Conclusio ADA induced and maintained clinical remission of childr that of adults. High dose compared with low dose wa difference between groups	IMAgINE 1	2012

ere UC/	Induction
---------	-----------

nical remission in patients with mod-severe oids and/or immunosuppressants.

e UC/ Maintenance

taining clinical remission in patients with modto conventional therapy.

D/ Induct+Maintain

-severe active CD who continue with ADA are than those given placebo.

/ CD/ Relapse

of tolerance and loss of efficacy within 1 year. F is recommended.

v dose q2w / pediatric CD / Maintenance :

en with CD, with a safety profile comparable to better achieving remission at w26, but the vas not significant.

## ADALIMUMAB III

RT/ADA vs AZA 2mg/kg/dvs 5ASA 3gr/d/ post Conclusion: <b>The administration of ADA after intestinal resective s</b> <b>endoscopic and clinical rec</b>	ADA/AZA/ 5ASA postop	2013
Open label RCT/ADA vs IFX/ post surge Conclusion: IFX and ADA were similar in preventing histological, endo ileocolonic resection in high	IFX vs ADA posto	2014
RCT/ ADA+/-ciprofloxacin (or placebo) combination Conclusion: Combination therapy of ADA and ciprofloxacin is more effect closure in CD. However, after discontinuation effect of initial coadministratio	ADAFI	2014
RCT/ ADA+AZA vs conventional/ C Conclusion: Lower risk of major adverse outcomes in early combine treatment. However, no differences in r	REACT	2015
OL RT/ ADA vs ADA+AZA / active Conclusion: Clinical efficacy of the combination of ADA+AZA did not d medications	DIAMOND	2016

t surgery CD/ Disease recurrence	
urgery was greatly effective in preventing currence of CD.	
ery CD / Disease recurrence	))
oscopic and clinical recurrence after curative risk CD patients.	))
12 weeks/ perianal CD / Efficacy & safety	
ective than ADA monotherapy to achieve fistula of antibiotic therapy, the beneficial on is not maintained.	
CD/ Remission+Maintain	
ed immunosuppresion in CD vs conventional remission rates at 12 months.	
e CD / Efficacy & safety :	

differ from ADA monotherapy in CD naïve to both is.

## ADALIMUMAB IV







/ UC/Remission n: espect to clinical remission and endoscopic ree clinical remission.	
CD/ Remission a: adjustment of doses and intervals resulted in adjustment reactive monitoring in pediatric CD.	
uchitis / induction n: lacebo in any primary or secondary outcome in ouchitis	
pregnant IBD / Safety&efficacy n: e during pregnancy was not associated with at birth or within the first year of life.	
UC/ Induction a: sion w10 in HIBISCUS I but not HIBISCUS II ogic remission w10 vs pbIo in HIBISCUS I for induction of remission at w10	

## ADALIMUMAB VI

RCT phase 3/ ADA high dose vs standard vs pl Conclusio ADA better than placebo in pediatric UC. High inductio standard dose in p	ENVISION I	2021
RCT OL/ ADA intensified + AZA vs Conclusio T2T therapy intensification resulted in less treatm inflammation, & greater improvement in stricture mo significantly different from	STRIDENT	2021
Phase 4/ ADA vs ADA biosimilar Conclusio Safety and efficacy were similar in patients with CD tre Treatment benefits were maintained in patients receiv	VOLTAIRE-CD	2021
RCT/ ADA 40mg eow vs 40 mg ew clinical adjusted or Conclusio <b>No differences between clinical adjusted or TDM regime</b> endpoitns. Both dosing regimens were generally	SERENE-CD	2022
Phase 3b RCT/ ADA vs UST/ mod-severe CD naï Conclusio ADA and UST monotherapies highly effective in CD bi remission w52 betwe	SEAVUE	2022

- acebo / pediatric UC / Safety&efficacy n:
- on dose and high maintenance dose better than ediatric UC
- ADA standard/ CD strictures
- ent failure,reduction in stricture-associated rphology, although these differences were not n standard therapy.
- BI 695501/ CD/ Induction
- ated with BI 695501 or ADA reference product. ing ADA reference who switched to BI 695501.
- based in TDM / CD / Induction & maintenance n:
- ens with respecto to the key exploratory efficacy well-tolerated with a similar safety profile.
- ve to biologics/ Induction & Maintenance n:
- ologic-naive, with no difference in the clinical en the drugs.



RCT/ higher induction (ADA 160mg w0,1,2&3) or standard i mg ew/ UC / Ren Conclusion <b>No statistical difference between higher induction and</b> <b>between responders to induction receiving 40 mg</b>	SERENE-UC	2022
Observational/ AntiTNF/ IBD under Conclusion Preoperative antiTNF exposure was not associated with prospective multice	PUCCINI	2022
OL/ single arm ADA/ mod-sever Conclusio Rapid clinical response & remission, improvement i biomarkers achieved with ADA as early as day 4 in adu	RAPIDA	2022
Open label RCT/ Remission for>9months on ADA q2w ra Conclusion <b>The individual benefit of increasing ADA dose interva</b> trade-off that should take patient preferences regarding	LADI	2023

- induction + w8 randomization to 40 mg eow or 40 mission
- n:
- l standard at week 8. No statistical differences g eow or ew for clinical remission at w52.
- rgoing surgery/ Infections n:
- postoperative infectious complications in a large enter cohort.
- re luminal CD/Remission
- in QoL & fatigue & reduction of inflammatory It anti-TNF naïve patients with mod-severe CD
- andomized to q3w or q2w / CD / Maintenance n:
- als versus the risk of disease recurrence is a generation and the risk of a flare into account.