RCT/Seton vs antiTNF vs surgery/pCD

Prospective multicentre, pragmatic, randomised, controlled, open-label, parallel group, superiority trial.

Allocated [1:1:1] to chronic seton drainage, long-term anti-TNF, or surgical closure after anti-TNF induction.

<u>Primary outcome:</u> Fistula related re-intervention[s], defined as surgical re-interventions and/ or [re]start of anti-TNF therapy due to suspicion of recurrent abscess or new fistula tract[s] within 1 year.

Results:

The study was stopped by the data safety monitoring board because of futility.

- Seton treatment was associated with the highest re-intervention rate [10/15, versus 6/15 anti-TNF and 3/14 surgical closure patients, p = 0.02].
- No substantial differences in perianal disease activity and quality of life between the three treatment groups were observed.

Conclusion:

The results imply that chronic seton treatment should not be recommended as the sole treatment for perianal Crohn's fistulas

Treatment of Perianal Fistulas in CD, Seton Versus Anti-TNF Versus Surgical Closure Following Anti-TNF [PISA]: A Randomised Controlled Trial

Table 3. Re-interventions in RCT and registry patients till end of study, assessed using Kaplan-Meier analyses.

Re-interventions	Seton drainage n [%]	Anti-TNF n [%]	Surgical closure n [%]
RCT*	10 [74%]	6 [42%]	3 [23%]
Registry	8 [42%]	9 [48%]	2 [44%]

Re-interventions till end of study were significantly higher in the seton group of the randomised patients [p log-rank = 0.02]

RCT, randomised controlled trial; TNF, tumour necrosis factor.

